Morgan Stanley

When Is a Portfolio Efficient Enough? Evaluating Alternative Betas

MACRO INSIGHT | PORTFOLIO SOLUTIONS GROUP | June 2023

Over the past 40 years, since 1980, interest rates have declined, meaning bond prices have generally increased. Now that this 40-year trend of declining interest rates has ended—and rather abruptly—investors are struggling to find ways to create an efficient portfolio with more stable returns. Why? Well, it appears that bonds may no longer provide the portfolio ballast that they have for the past decade and the traditional 60/40 portfolio¹ might no longer work as expected.

So, where do we go to find a solution? Back to the 1950s and 60s, when this very issue was really first analyzed in depth. Before that, and ever since serious investing began (for reference, the first stock market was formed in Amsterdam in 1611; the NYSE started in 1792) investors understood there was a relationship between risk and return. But, investors lacked ways to both reliably measure and manage risk and incorporate this uncertainty into the valuation of an investment portfolio.

Enter Harry Markowitz in 1952, who had the insight to measure and manage portfolio risks by holding imperfectly correlated assets together in a portfolio. He illustrated that lower correlations between assets had the net effect of canceling some — but not all — of the associated risks within a portfolio. These lower correlations, where assets would not move together in lockstep, helped reduce the variance of returns over time.

Building upon this in the mid-1960s were William Sharpe and John Lintner who created a coherent framework to understand how those associated risks within a portfolio, as explained by Markowitz, should affect its expected return, or its valuation. *Et voila!*, the Capital Assets Pricing Model (CAPM) was born. As such, the two troublesome questions about how to manage risk, and how to value it, were theoretically answered by these two seminal insights, which formed the cornerstone of modern financial theory. But as Albert Einstein famously said, "In theory, theory and practice are the same. In practice, they are not."

AUTHOR



JIM CARON Chief Investment Officer Portfolio Solutions Group By the 1970s the CAPM model was being criticized as too theoretical in assuming a risk sensitivity to a portfolio, aka its beta, (and for a number of other factors that are beyond the scope of this paper). But this concept of beta is important because it connects market risk to the required return an investor expects to be compensated for, for taking that risk. The debate surrounding beta is unresolved and leaves investors to evaluate **alternative measures of beta** and to think differently about the investing future when the past may be an imperfect guide.

Today we are engaged in the same age-old debate about the most efficient balance of risks in a portfolio of stocks and bonds, and what might be the volatility of returns in the future. Is a passive 60/40 portfolio the optimal solution as many investors thought was the case for many, many years? Or is there something better? While this may be a gross oversimplification of a risk-balanced strategy for a portfolio, investors cannot ignore the fact that the 60/40 portfolio worked pretty well for 40 years. In other words, holding bonds passively lowered the beta, or risk, of the portfolio. But today, do bonds even reduce risk or lower beta in a portfolio? Alternatively, do they actually increase the risk and beta? And either way, what is the driver of this risk?

Finding a New Risk Balance When the 60/40 Is No Longer Optimal

The direction of interest rates in the near- and longer-term future provides critical information. If interest rates do not trend lower, but shuttle sideways in a limited range, or even drift higher, then we believe the traditional passive 60/40 portfolio is suboptimal. In our minds the solution is an actively balanced portfolio that seeks to reduce the volatility of returns. And why do we care so much about volatility? Well, the advantage of stabilizing return volatility is that it allows an investor

DISPLAY 1

Avoiding Volatility - and Drawdowns - Matter When Compounding

	LESS VOLA	TILE RETURNS	Alternating years of 32% gains, 16% losses		
	Alternatin and S	ng years of 7% 9% gains			
YEAR		1,000,000		1,000,000	
1	7%	1,070,000	32%	1,320,000	
2	9%	1,166,300	-16%	1,108,800	
3	7%	1,247,941	32%	1,463,616	
4	9%	1,360,256	-16%	1,229,437	
5	7%	1,455,474	32%	1,622,857	
6	9%	1,586,466	-16%	1,363,200	
7	7%	1,697,519	32%	1,799,424	
8	9%	1,850,296	-16%	1,511,516	
9	7%	1,979,816	32%	1,995,202	
10	9%	\$2,158,000	-16%	\$1,675,969	
Average annual return	8.0%		8.0%		
Compound annualized return	8.0%		5.3%		

The example shown is for illustrative purposes only and does not represent actual market returns. The data has been chosen to convey the concept of compounding and the erosive effects of volatility and drawdowns on returns. There is no assurance that an investors will experience similar results.

to compound returns more predictably into the future. Note that Warren Buffett has always professed that much of his wealth can be attributed to the power of compounding. We believe the key to compounding returns is by investing in a balanced strategy that has demonstrated the ability to control risk, specifically a Global Balanced and Risk Control Strategy.

The Objective of the 60/40 Portfolio

The objective of the 60/40 portfolio was to reduce the volatility of returns over a long-term investment horizon by balancing the risks between the equities and bonds an investor held in a portfolio. The overriding goal was to try to minimize downside risk, or drawdowns, and participate in the upside—and the importance of avoiding drawdowns cannot be overemphasized. Drawdowns are those moments in volatile markets that every investor has been through when their portfolio is losing money "on paper" to the point where the fear becomes palpable and they can't take it anymore. The ability to withstand drawdowns in some ways represents an investor's risk tolerance. During severe drawdowns (think the GFC of 2008-09) some investors sell near a market bottom, which is generally the worst time to sell. This is a real risk, and the issue with significant drawdowns "on paper" or otherwise is the simple math involved: if an investor loses 50% of their portfolio in an extreme drawdown their portfolio now has to earn 100% just to get back to even.

We believe that when balancing the risks in a portfolio, with fewer and less extreme drawdowns, an investor has a better chance of compounding returns in a stable and predictable manner, as shown in a stylized example of 8% vs. 5.3% in *Display 1*. After all, that is the goal of financial planning and meeting long-term liabilities.

Did the 60/40 Risk Balance Work ...?

As intimated, the 60/40 portfolio worked well for investors from 1982 to 2021 (notice that 2022 has not been included, something that will be addressed shortly). Broadly speaking, holding bonds lowered the beta of the portfolio, but what really made the 60/40 allocation work was that the bonds generated positive returns in **36 out of 40 years.**² The down years for bonds were:

- 1994, which was the worst all-time year until 2022 (-2.9%)
- 2013, during the "taper tantrum" (-2.0%)
- 2018, where bonds were essentially flat (0.0%) but generated negative return after fees.
- **2**021 (-1.5%)

On average, bonds returned roughly 7.6% a year from 1982 to 2021, and during those down years for bonds in 1994, 2013, 2018 and 2021, equities returned around 7.3%³ on average, meaning that holding stocks and bonds in a 60/40 portfolio worked out reasonably well. All told, bonds compensated investors quite nicely for equity risk and lowered the volatility of returns for the overall portfolio. But to be crystal clear, the driving force of the success of the 60/40 portfolio was the consistency of bond returns.

...Yes, but Will It Continue To Work?

Will bonds continue their historical string of positive returns? If 2022 is any indication of the future, maybe not, as bonds were down -13.0%. This made 2022 a particularly bad year

because equities were down too, something **that hadn't happened since 1994**. Equities and bonds generally had a low correlation, meaning they did not move synchronously, and that was the essential selling point of that traditional 60/40 portfolio. But the burden of future success for bonds is in the interest rate cycle, because history shows that roughly 85% of bond returns were attributable to movements in interest rates.⁴ To reiterate, since rates trended lower from 1982 to 2021, bond returns were typically positive.

It might provide more insight to ask whether one thinks interest rates will trend lower for the next 40 years. We don't think so, and this is where the static 60/40 risk balance allocation becomes challenged. If interest rates trend sideways in a range into the future then bonds will not be the steady hedge to equities they once were, invalidating a 60/40 balance. If rates drift higher, then bonds become even more circumspect as a hedge that provides stable returns when matched against equities. As a result the beta, the risk factor of the 60/40 portfolio, is likely to increase.

All told, there is nothing magical about a 60/40 portfolio. In fact, the term didn't exist before 1980; it was coined that year when rates started trending lower and investors developed the concept of risk parity. Risk parity is a now common portfolio allocation strategy that uses risk to determine allocations across various components of an investment portfolio, viewing the risk and return of the entire portfolio as a single construct. This was groundbreaking stuff. Historically, all else being equal, interest rates have moved in a cyclical sideways range. This means there will be years when bonds and equities have positive correlations and years when they have negative correlations. But if interest rates do not steadily trend lower, the correlation risks between both assets will rise and the riskiness — the portfolio beta — will rise.

What's the Solution?

To start, we believe the solution is active management, not passively investing in a portfolio and watching in frustration as it does not do what it was expected to do—and unable to do anything about it. More specifically, the solution is to adopt actively managed strategies that pair the risks of assets held in a portfolio against each other in order help reduce the volatility. We think of this as an **alternative beta strategy**, compared to a strategy that relies on historical bond returns during a time when interest rates are trending lower.

In other words, an investor needs to be more concerned about how a manager is balancing the risks in one's portfolio through asset allocation decisions with the objective of reducing the volatility of returns. Which brings us right back to where we started: reducing return volatility, limiting the risk of significant drawdowns and helping to stabilize returns so they are more predictable and can compound over time. This can be achieved by balancing the risks in the portfolio but also providing a framework and the discipline to control risk. In our flagship multi-asset strategy, the Global Balanced Risk Control

3

² U.S. Aggregate Bonds are defined as the Bloomberg US Aggregate Total Return Value Unhedged USD Index Source: Bloomberg, data from 1982 to 2021. Returns data provided is annual. **The index performance is provided for illustrative purposes only and is not meant to depict the performance of a specific investment. Past performance is no guarantee of future results.**

³ U.S. Equities defined as S&P 500 (USD) Index. Source: Bloomberg, data from 1982 to 2021. Returns data provided is annual

⁴ Bloomberg Index Data.

DISPLAY 2





Source: Representative GBaR Portfolio, MSIM, DataStream, June 6, 2019 to May 31, 2023. Subject to change daily. Provided for informational purposes only and should not be deemed as a recommendation to buy or sell securities in the asset class shown above. Each portfolio may differ due to specific investment restrictions and guidelines. Accordingly, individual results may vary. The information shown herein represents supplemental information, which supplements the composite presentation for the Global Balanced Risk Control (USD) Fund-of-Funds Commingled Composite. Effective weights incorporate the impact of options. Target weights are the weights targeted at the time of the team's rebalancing.

Note: The Left-Hand Scale represents the equity weights of the Representative GBaR Portfolio with a Volatility Target of 4-10%.

Strategy, we actively manage allocations across equity, fixed income, commoditylinked notes and cash in the same portfolio. As you can see from *Display 2*, we make significant changes to our asset mix based on what we see as upcoming risk events in an attempt to get ahead of potential market volatility.

In Summary

We believe that the start of a secularly changing investment environment is already underway, an environment in which static 60/40 allocation strategies will be suboptimal. We do not believe that bonds can provide portfolio ballast in the near and longer-term future. Furthermore, they can no longer be expected to have a low correlation to equities and to help reduce the risk beta, particularly in an unmanaged, passive portfolio with the inability to maneuver deftly. To manage ongoing market volatility and minimize potential participation in those severe drawdowns that can erode the ability to compound effectively, we believe investors will need an active volatility manager, like the Portfolio Solutions Group.

Risk Considerations

There is no assurance that the Strategy will achieve its investment objective. Portfolios are subject to market risk, which is the possibility that the market values of securities owned by the portfolio will decline and that the value of portfolio shares may therefore be less than what you paid for them. Market values can change daily due to economic and other events (e.g. natural disasters, health crises, terrorism, conflicts and social unrest) that affect markets, countries, companies or governments. It is difficult to predict the timing, duration, and potential adverse effects (e.g. portfolio liquidity) of events. Accordingly, you can lose money investing in this portfolio. Please be aware that this strategy may be subject to certain additional risks. There is the risk that the Adviser's **asset allocation methodology** and assumptions regarding the Underlying Portfolios may be incorrect in light of actual market conditions and the Portfolio may not achieve its investment objective. Share prices also tend to be volatile and there is a significant possibility of loss. The portfolio's investments in **commodity-linked notes** involve substantial risks, including risk of loss of a significant portion of their principal value. In addition to

commodity risk, they may be subject to additional special risks, such as risk of loss of interest and principal, lack of secondary market and risk of greater volatility, that do not affect traditional equity and debt securities. **Currency fluctuations** could erase investment gains or add to investment losses. Fixed-income securities are subject to the ability of an issuer to make timely principal and interest payments (credit risk), changes in interest rates (interest-rate risk), the creditworthiness of the issuer and general market liquidity (market risk). In a rising interest-rate environment, bond prices may fall and may result in periods of volatility and increased portfolio redemptions. In a declining interest-rate environment, the portfolio may generate less income. Longer-term securities may be more sensitive to interest rate changes. Equity and foreign securities are generally more volatile than fixed income securities and are subject to currency, political, economic and market risks. Equity values fluctuate in response to activities specific to a company. Stocks of **small-capitalization** companies carry special risks, such as limited product lines, markets and financial resources, and greater market volatility than securities of larger, more established companies. The risks of investing in emerging market countries are greater than risks associated with investments in foreign developed markets. Exchange traded funds (ETFs) shares have many of the same risks as direct investments in common stocks or bonds and their market value will fluctuate as the value of the underlying index does. By investing in exchange traded funds ETFs and other Investment Funds, the portfolio absorbs both its own expenses and those of the ETFs and Investment Funds it invests in. Supply and demand for ETFs and Investment Funds may not be correlated to that of the underlying securities. Derivative instruments can be illiquid, may disproportionately increase losses and may have a potentially large negative impact on the portfolio's performance. A currency forward is a hedging tool that does not involve any upfront payment. The use of leverage may increase volatility in the Portfolio. Diversification does not protect you against a loss in a particular market; however, it allows you to spread that risk across various asset classes.



Global Balanced Risk Control (USD) Fund-of-Funds Commingled Composite Performance *Presented in USD*

Source: Datastream, from Global Balanced (USD) Fund-of-Funds Commingled Composite inception 31 March 2019 to 31 May 2023. Performance returns reflect the average annual rates of return. Periods less than 1 year are not annualized. The composite results shown are GROSS and NET of investment advisory/management fees, which include performance fees if applicable, are quoted in USD and include the reinvestment of dividends and income. Each portfolio may differ due to specific investment restrictions and guidelines. Accordingly, individual results may vary. **Past performance is no guarantee of future returns**. GIPS is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein. Please refer to the GIPS Report and Appendix for important additional information and disclosures.

Global Balanced Risk Control (USD) Fund-of-Funds Commingled Composite

Presented in USD Terms

YEAR	GROSS COMPOSITE RETURN (%)	NET COMPOSITE RETURN (%)	INDEX RETURN (%)	COMPOSITE 3-YR EX-POST STANDARD DEVIATION (%)	INDEX 3-YR EX-POST STANDARD DEVIATION (%)	NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS	COMPOSITE MARKET VALUE (M)	FIRM ASSETS (B)	INTERNAL DISPERSIONS (%)
01 Apr 19 - 31 Dec 19	4.37	4.15	N/A	N/A	N/A	2	776	451.1	N/A
2020	12.86	11.79	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	275	661.8	N/A
2021	0.78	(0.41)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1	316	750.8	N/A

Morgan Stanley Investment Management ("MSIM") claims compliance with the Global Investment Performance Standards (GIPS®) and has prepared and presented this report in compliance with the GIPS standards. MSIM has been independently verified for the periods January 1, 1994 through December 31, 2021. The verification reports are available upon request. A firm that claims compliance with the GIPS standards must establish policies and procedures for complying with all the applicable requirements of the GIPS standards. Verification provides assurance on whether the firm's policies and procedures related to composite and pooled fund maintenance, as well as the calculation, presentation, and distribution of performance, have been designed in compliance with the GIPS standards and have been implemented on a firm-wide basis. Verification does not provide assurance on the accuracy of any specific performance report. GIPS® is a registered trademark of CFA Institute. CFA Institute does not endorse or promote this organization, nor does it warrant the accuracy or quality of the content contained herein.

Morgan Stanley Investment Management ("MSIM") is the asset management business of Morgan Stanley. Assets are managed by teams representing different MSIM investment platforms. The GIPS® firm for MSIM ("The Firm") is defined as the Active Fundamental Equity, Fixed Income, and Global Liquidity investment platforms, as well as the Global Listed Real Assets, Global Balanced and Risk Control, Global Multi Asset, Managed Solutions, and Applied Equity Advisors investment teams. Effective February 26, 2016, the Firm was redefined to reflect a realignment of the legal entities into investment platforms, which did not impact the Firm assets under management.

Prior to January 1, 2002, the Firm was defined as an investment management firm consisting of investment advisory operations within various legal entities. As of January 1, 2002, the Firm definition was expanded to include all investment advisory operations within MSIM excluding affiliated and unaffiliated wrap fee programs. From January 1, 2007 to May 31, 2010, the Firm definition included wrap fee programs, which were sold May 31, 2010. Due to an acquisition of assets from Morgan Stanley Smith Barney LLC ("MSSB") business on October 1, 2015, the Firm definition was expanded to include wrap fee programs. The Fundamental Equity Advisors wrap fee program was transferred to another firm in October 2018.

The Global Balanced Risk Control (USO) Fund-of Funds Commingled Composite was created on July 9, 2019 and its inception date is March 31, 2019. This composite is designed to include all separately managed accounts and pooled vehicles managed on a fully discretionary basis according to the Global Balanced Risk Control strategy, with fund-of-funds implementation, in USO terms. The strategy applies a top-down global asset allocation approach, investing in equities (including listed real estate and listed infrastructure), fixed income, commodity-linked investments and cash. The strategy aims to provide capital growth over time, measured in US dollars, while actively managing total portfolio risk; target volatility is in the range of 4% - 10%. Among the strategy's investments are actively-managed mutual funds (including those managed by third-parties and may include those managed by Morgan Stanley Investment Management), ETFs and index futures, which are used for the purposes of efficient portfolio management. The strategy may also invest in ETCs and government bonds. Foreign exchange forward contracts may be used to a limited extent, including for currency hedging purposes. Using derivatives involves specific risks, including those related to counterparty, liquidity, valuation, correlation, and market risks. A complete list and description of all composites and limited distribution pooled funds (LDPF) is available upon request. A list of the firm's broad distribution pooled funds is available on the firm's website (MSIM.com).

Performance data quoted represents past performance, which is no guarantee of future results. Each portfolio may differ due to specific investment restrictions and guidelines. Any double digit return cannot be sustained and investors should be aware that these returns were primarily achieved during favourable market conditions. Returns are reported in USD. The composite can include portfolios with different currencies which have been converted to the reported currency. The internal dispersion of annual returns is measured by the standard deviation of asset-weighted portfolio gross of fees returns included in the composite for the full year. The internal dispersion is not applicable ("N/A") for any period if fewer than 6 accounts are in the composite for the full year. The three-year annualized ex-post standard deviation measures the variability of the gross composite and benchmark returns over the preceding 36-month period. The three-year ex-post standard deviation is not applicable ("N/A") for any period if 36 monthly returns for the composite are not available. Policies for valuing investments, calculating performance and preparing GIPS Reports are available upon request.

As the composite's strategy is risk targeted and permits investments in various asset classes, we believe that no appropriate benchmark exists. Therefore, there is no benchmark presented ("N/A") for this composite.

Gross performance is net of all transaction costs and withholding taxes. Net performance is net of all transaction costs, withholding taxes, actual investment management/advisory fees which include performance fees if applicable and applicable administrative expenses. Any performance fees are accounted for and deducted when earned. Performance returns include the reinvestment of dividends and income. The standard investment advisory fee schedule is as follows: 0.85% per annum on first \$100 million of assets; 0.75% per annum on next \$150 million of assets; 0.65% per annum on assets under management thereafter. Actual investment advisory fees incurred by clients may vary.

DEFINITIONS

Volatility is a statistical measure of the dispersion of returns for a given security or market index. The team measures volatility on an ex-ante (forward-looking) basis using the manager's proprietary risk management system. **Targets** are typical ranges. There is no assurance that these targets will be attained.

The **Asset Allocation** strategies provide the Investment Adviser with wide discretion to allocate between different asset classes. From time to time, the Asset Allocation may have significant exposure to a single or limited number of fixed income or equity asset classes. Accordingly, the relative relevance of the risks associated with equity securities, Fixed Income Securities and derivatives will fluctuate over time.

Investments in derivative instruments carry certain inherent risks such as the risk of counter party default and before investing you should ensure you fully understand these risks. Use of leverage may also magnify losses as well as gains to the extent that leverage is employed. These investments are designed for investors who understand and are willing to accept these risks. Performance may be volatile, and an investor could lose all or a substantial portion of his or her investment.

The **MSCI All Country World Index (ACWI)** is a free float-adjusted market capitalization weighted index designed to measure the equity market performance of developed and emerging markets. The term "free float" represents the portion of shares outstanding that are deemed to be available for purchase in the public equity markets by investors. The performance of the Index is listed in EUR and assumes reinvestment of net dividends.

The **Bloomberg U.S. Aggregate Total Return Value Unhedged USD Index** tracks the performance of all U.S. government agency and Treasury securities, investment-grade corporate debt securities, agency mortgage-backed securities, asset-backed securities and commercial mortgage-backed securities. Total Returns shown in unhedged USD.

The **S&P 500° Index** measures the performance of the large cap segment of the U.S. equities market, covering approximately 75% of the U.S. equities market. The Index includes 500 leading companies in leading industries of the U.S. economy.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION

There is no guarantee that any investment strategy will work under all market conditions, and each investor should evaluate their ability to invest for the long-term, especially during periods of downturn in the market.

A separately managed account may not be appropriate for all investors. Separate accounts managed according to the particular Strategy may include securities that may not necessarily track the performance of a particular index. A minimum asset level is required.

The views and opinions and/or analysis expressed are those of the author or the investment team as of the date of preparation of this material and are subject to change at any time without notice due to market or economic conditions and may not necessarily come to pass. Furthermore, the views will not be updated or otherwise revised to reflect information that subsequently becomes available or circumstances existing, or changes occurring, after the date of publication. The views expressed do not reflect the opinions of all investment personnel at Morgan Stanley Investment Management (MSIM) and its subsidiaries and affiliates (collectively "the Firm"), and may not be reflected in all the strategies and products that the Firm offers. Forecasts and/or estimates provided herein are subject to change and may not actually come to pass. Information regarding expected market returns and market outlooks is based on the research, analysis and opinions of the authors or the investment team. These conclusions are speculative in nature, may not come to pass and are not intended to predict the future performance of any specific strategy or product the Firm offers. Future results may differ significantly depending on factors such as changes in securities or financial markets or general economic conditions.

This material has been prepared on the basis of publicly available information, internally developed data and other third-party sources believed to be reliable. However, no assurances are provided regarding the reliability of such information and the Firm has not sought to independently verify information taken from public and third-party sources.

This material is a general communication, which is not impartial and all information provided has been prepared solely for informational and educational purposes and does not constitute an offer or a recommendation to buy or sell any particular security or to adopt any specific investment strategy. The information herein has not been based on a consideration of any individual investor circumstances and is not investment advice, nor should it be construed in any way as tax, accounting, legal or regulatory advice. To that end, investors should seek independent legal and financial advice, including advice as to tax consequences, before making any investment decision.

morganstanley.com/im

Charts and graphs provided herein are for illustrative purposes only.

The rep accounts have employed the investment strategy in a similar manner to that employed in the team's separately managed accounts ("SMAs") and other investment vehicles, i.e., they were generally operated in a consistent manner. However, portfolio management decisions made for such rep account may differ (i.e., with respect to liquidity or diversification) from the decisions the portfolio management team would make for SMAs and other investment vehicles. In addition, the holdings and portfolio activity in the rep account may not be representative of some SMAs managed under this strategy due to differing investment guidelines or client restrictions. Actual fees and expenses for SMAs and other investment vehicles will differ from those of the rep account, which would cause their performance to differ.

This material is not a product of Morgan Stanley's Research Department and should not be regarded as a research material or a recommendation.

The Firm has not authorised financial intermediaries to use and to distribute this material, unless such use and distribution is made in accordance with applicable law and regulation. Additionally, financial intermediaries are required to satisfy themselves that the information in this material is appropriate for any person to whom they provide this material in view of that person's circumstances and purpose. The Firm shall not be liable for, and accepts no liability for, the use or misuse of this material by any such financial intermediary.

This material may be translated into other languages. Where such a translation is made this English version remains definitive. If there are any discrepancies between the English version and any version of this material in another language, the English version shall prevail.

The whole or any part of this material may not be directly or indirectly reproduced, copied, modified, used to create a derivative work, performed, displayed, published, posted, licensed, framed, distributed or transmitted or any of its contents disclosed to third parties without the Firm's express written consent. This material may not be linked to unless such hyperlink is for personal and non-commercial use. All information contained herein is proprietary and is protected under copyright and other applicable law.

Eaton Vance is part of Morgan Stanley Investment Management. Morgan Stanley Investment Management is the asset management division of Morgan Stanley.

DISTRIBUTION

This material is only intended for and will only be distributed to persons resident in jurisdictions where such distribution or availability would not be contrary to local laws or regulations.

MSIM, the asset management division of Morgan Stanley (NYSE: MS), and its affiliates have arrangements in place to market each other's products and services. Each MSIM affiliate is regulated as appropriate in the jurisdiction it operates. MSIM's affiliates are: Eaton Vance Management (International) Limited, Eaton Vance Advisers International Ltd, Calvert Research and Management, Eaton Vance Management, Parametric Portfolio Associates LLC and Atlanta Capital Management LLC.

U.S

NOT FDIC INSURED | OFFER NO BANK GUARANTEE | MAY LOSE VALUE | NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY | NOT A DEPOSIT CANADA

FOR USE ONLY WITH "PERMITTED CLIENTS" UNDER CANADIAN LAW. MAY NOT BE USED WITH THE GENERAL PUBLIC.

This presentation is communicated in Canada by Morgan Stanley Investment Management Inc. ("MSIM"), which conducts its activities in Canada pursuant to the international adviser exemption from the Canadian adviser registration requirements. This presentation does not constitute an offer to provide investment advisory services in circumstances where the investment adviser exemption is not available. MSIM may only advise separately managed accounts of "Permitted Clients" and may only manage accounts which invest in non-Canadian issuers. "Permitted clients" as defined under Canadian National Instrument 31-103 generally include Canadian financial institutions or individuals with \$5 million (CAD) in financial assets and entities with at least \$25 million (CAD) in net assets. Permitted Clients may only invest in a separately managed account referenced in this presentation by entering into an investment management agreement with MSIM, of which this presentation is not a part. Materials which describe the investment expertise, strategies and/or other aspects of MSIM-managed separately managed accounts may be provided to you upon request for your consideration of the available investment advisory services offered by MSIM. MSIM and certain of its affiliates may serve as the portfolio manager to separately managed accounts described in this presentation and may be entitled to receive fees in connection therewith.